

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION N      | NO. F              | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--------------------|--------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/808,241         |                    | 03/25/2004 | Paul Facq            | 0540-1020           | 5022             |  |
| 466                | 7590               | 07/26/2005 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| YOUNG              | 3 & THOME          | PSON       | LOCKETT, KIMBERLY R  |                     |                  |  |
| 745 SOU<br>2ND FLO | ITH 23RD ST<br>OOR | TREET      | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
| ARLING             | TON, VA            | 22202      | 2837                 |                     |                  |  |
|                    |                    |            |                      |                     |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | Annling                 | tion No.   | Applicant(a) |        |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|-------------------------|--|--------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
|  |   |                         | tion No.   | Applicant(s) |        |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Comments   |   | 10/808                  | ,241   | FACQ, PAUL   |        |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary   | Examin                  | er   | Art Unit     |        |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Kim R. I                |  | 2837         |        |  |  |  |  |
|  | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |                         |  |              |        |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                         |  |              |        |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                         |  |              |        |  |  |  |  |
| 1)   | Responsive to communication(s) file   | d on .                  |  |              |        |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)□   |   | <br>2b)⊠ This action is | non-final.   |              |        |  |  |  |  |
| 3)□  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |                         |  |              |        |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                         |  |              |        |  |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)⊠  | Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1.2 and 10-12 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 3-9 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. |                         |  |              |        |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers   | •                       |  |              |        |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                         |  |              |        |  |  |  |  |
| Priority u   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |                         |  |              |        |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |                         |  |              |        |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notic 3) Inform   | t(s)<br>e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or<br>r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/27/04</u> .  |                         | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ite          | O-152) |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/808,241

Art Unit: 2837

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The applicant recites the use of "a tongue (36)" and "a tongue (42)". However the applicant appears to be referring to the same element a unitary tongue. The claims should recite for example "a tongue, a first end of said tongue (36) connected to said tongue carrier....", "a second end of said tongue arranged in line with said window", etc.

Furthermore, the claims lack clarity for example:

The phrase "in line with this window" is confusing. Is the applicant referring to the window already recited? Id so the claims should recite "in line with said window". The applicant is behooved to review <u>all</u> of the claims for such recitations of "this" and "these".

The claims have numerous lack of antecedent basis informalities. For example: The applicant further recites the phrases "a first position for the first direction" and "the surfaces". These phrases lack antecedent basis. The applicant is behooved to review all of the claims for such lack of antecedent basis informalities.

The phrases "this in the first and second positions" and "in this instance" are narrative in form and constitute indefinite, functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified.

Art Unit: 2837

The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. Correction is required.

The recitation of the term "preferably" fails to positively recite the applicant's invention.

The recitation of "a shape deflected about the movable elements" is not understood.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ehler.

As the examiner best understands the applicant's invention Ehler discloses the use of a single dual reed module(8) using two directions of air flow for each note a fixed single tongue carrier(5) with a window(6) and a tongue (column 3, line 60), associated moveable elements(9), a heel (11) formed by a rivet formed in the plate, a shape (column 3, lines 60-65) and a variable space.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,241 Page 4

Art Unit: 2837

4. Claims 3-9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile

transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO 2800 Fax Center at

703-872-9306.

For assistance in Patent procedure, fees or general Patent questions calls

should be directed to the Patents Assistance Center (PAC) whose telephone

number is 800-786-9199. Assistance is also available on the Internet at

www.uspto.gov.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Kim Lockett whose telephone number is (703)

308-7615, after 2/3/04 my new number will be (571) 272-2067. The examiner can

normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Martin can be reached on (571) 272-2107.